

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/28/2001 09/937,668 Bernd Eckardt 32325-174523 5120 EXAMINER 7590 12/16/2004 Venable Baetjer Howard & Civiletti LANGEL, WAYNE A PO Box 34385 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Washington, DC 20043-9998 1754

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER				
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			

DATE MAILED:

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

□ Th	is application has been examined	Responsive to communicat	ion filed on 16-12-64	This action is made final.	
	ened statutory period for response to the to respond within the period for responding the period for response to the period for response the period for response to th			om the date of this letter.	
Part I	THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S	ARE PART OF THIS ACTION	:		
1. 3. 5.	Notice of References Cited by Exa Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, P Information on How to Effect Draw	TO-1449.	 Notice of Draftsman's P. Notice of Informal Pater. 	•	
Part II	SUMMARY OF ACTION				
1.	Claims	16-35		_ are pending in the application.	
	Of the above, claims		arı	e withdrawn from consideration.	
_	Claims				
3. 🔀	Claims 16-	27 and	35	are allowed.	
4. 🔀	Claims 16-	8-34		are rejected.	
5. 🗀	Claims	,			
6	Claims		are subject to restricti	on or election requirement.	
7.	This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.				
8. 🗌	Formal drawings are required in respo	nse to this Office action.			
9.	The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).				
10. 🗌	The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) beenapproved by the examiner;disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).				
11. 🗆	The proposed drawing correction, filed	, has	been approved; disapproved	(see explanation).	
12. 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35. U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received been filled in parent application, serial no; filed on				
13. 🗌	Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
14. 🔲	Other		1		

Application/Control Number: 09/937,668

Art Unit: 1754

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 28-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Smith et al, for the reasons given in the last Office Action. Applicant's argument, that Smith et al teach an apparatus for conducting an aqueous fluid reductant reactant and air as a fluid oxidant reactant, is not convincing, since Smith et al teach at col. 35, line 61 that the method may be employed for the recombination of hydrogen and oxygen gas, which would constitute a reaction between two gases. Applicant's argument, that in applicant's process the first catalyst body surrounded by the retarding layer is arranged before the second catalyst body with regard to the flow direction, is not convincing, since claims 28-34 do not require that the first catalyst body be surrounded by the retarding layer. The term "layer," should be changed to -- layer surrounding said first catalyst body, -- to avoid this rejection.

Claims 16-27 and 35 are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/937,668

Art Unit: 1754

Page 3

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Wayne Langel at telephone number 571-272-1353.

Wayne Langel Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1754